# VARIANCE REDUCED STOCHASTIC PROXIMAL ALGORITHM FOR AUC MAXIMIZATION Soham Dan\* and Dushyant Sahoo\* University of Pennsylvania

# Abstract

- Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) has been widely studied with classification accuracy as a performance measure.
- These algorithms are not applicable when non-decomposable pairwise performance measures are used, such as Area under the ROC curve (AUC).
- We propose a Variance Reduced Stochastic Proximal algorithm for AUC Maximization (VRSPAM) which converges faster than existing methods.

## Introduction

- Class imbalance poses a challenge in several domains for instance, medical diagnosis of rare diseases. [1]
- AUC is commonly used to evaluate the performance of a binary classifier in this setting. AUC measures the ability of a family of classifiers to correctly rank an example from the positive class with respect to a randomly selected example from the negative class.
- In the online setting, AUC metric does not decomposes over individual instances, unlike classification accuracy.
- [2] reformulated the pairwise squared loss surrogate of AUC and gave an algorithm with a convergence rate of  $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log t}{t}\right)$ , under strong convexity.
- This rate is sub-optimal to the linear rate SGD achieves with classification accuracy as a performance measure. The slow convergence is caused by the high variance of the gradient in each iteration.
- We present VRSPAM which extends previous work [2, 4] for surrogate-AUC maximization by using the Proximal SVRG [3] algorithm and achieves linear convergence rate.

# **AUC Formulation**

- $AUC(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{w}^T(x-x')>0} | y = 1, y' = -1]$
- We consider the below objectve function

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{R}^d} f(\mathbf{w}) + \Omega(\mathbf{w})$$

where  $f(\mathbf{w}) = p(1-p) \mathbb{E}[(1 - \mathbf{w}^T (x - x'))^2 | y = 1, y' = -1]$  and  $\Omega$  a convex regularizer (where p = Pr(y = +1))

• The above minimization problem can be reformulated such that stochastic gradient descent can be performed to find the optimum value. Below is an equivalent formulation from Theorem 1 in [2]-

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},a,b} \max_{\zeta \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[F(\mathbf{w}, a, b, \zeta; z)] + \Omega(\mathbf{w})$$

where the expectation is with respect to z = (x, y) and

$$F(\mathbf{w}, a, b, \zeta; z) = (1 - p)(\mathbf{w}^T x - a)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=1]} + p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} - (1 - p) \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]}) - p(\mathbf{w}^T x - b)^2 \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]} + 2(1 + \zeta) \mathbf{w}^T x (p \mathbb{I}_{[y=-1]}$$

[2] shows that the optimal choices for  $a, b, \zeta$  satisfy

$$a(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbb{E}[x|y=1]$$
  

$$b(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbb{E}[x|y=-1]$$
  

$$\zeta(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^T (\mathbb{E}[x'|y'=-1] - \mathbb{E}[x|y=1])$$



# **Bounded Variance**

**Lemma 1.** Consider VRSPAM (Algorithm 1), then the variance of the  $v_t$  is upper bounded as:

 $\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{v}_t - \partial f(\mathbf{w}_t)\|^2] \le 4(8M^2)^2 \|\mathbf{w}_t - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2 + 2(8M^2)^2 \|\tilde{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2$ 

- At the convergence,  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{w}^*$  and  $\mathbf{w}_t = \mathbf{w}^*$
- Variance of the updates are bounded and go to zero as the algorithm converges
- Variance of the gradient in SPAM [2] does not go to zero as it is a stochastic gradient descent based algorithm

# **Convergence Analysis**

**Theorem 1.** Consider VRSPAM (Algorithm 1) and let  $\mathbf{w}^* = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} f(\mathbf{w}) + \Omega(\mathbf{w})$ ; if  $\eta < 1$  $\frac{\rho}{128M^4}$ , then there exists  $\alpha < 1$  and we have the geometric convergence in expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2] \le \alpha^s \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{0}} - \mathbf{w}^*\|^2]$$

• We get a geometric convergence rate of  $\alpha^s$  which is much stronger than the  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{t})$ convergence rate obtained in [2].

### **Complexity Analysis:**

- For any  $0 < \theta < 1$  and  $E = \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{\theta \beta^2}{128M4})}$ , if we choose  $m \approx 2\frac{\log \theta}{\log E}$  then  $\alpha \approx 2\theta E^2$
- Thus the time complexity of the algorithm is  $O(n + 2\frac{\log \theta}{\log E})(\log(\frac{1}{\epsilon}))$  when  $m = \Theta(\frac{\log \theta}{\log E})$
- As the order has inverse dependency on  $\log E = \log \frac{128M^4}{128M^4 + \theta\beta^2}$ , increase in M will result in increase in number of iterations i.e. as the maximum norm of training samples is increased, larger m is required to reach  $\epsilon$  accuracy.
- SPAM algorithm takes  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{t_0 F}{\epsilon})$  iterations to achieve  $\epsilon$  accuracy. Thus, SPAM has lower per iteration complexity but slower convergence rate as compared to VRSPAM. Therefore, VRSPAM will take less time to get a good approximation of the solution.

 $(1-p)\zeta^2$ 



# Results

• German: n = 1000, p = 24; USPS: n = 9298, p = 256; a9a: n = 32,561, p = 123



Fig. 1: The top row shows that VRSPAM (SPAM-L2-SVRG) has lower variance than SPAM-L2 across different datasets. The bottom row shows VRSPAM (SPAM-L2-SVRG) converges faster and performs better than existing algorithms on AUC maximization.

# Conclusion

- Proposed variance reduced stochastic proximal algorithm for AUC maximization (VRSPAM).
- Obtained convergence rate of  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^t)$  where  $\alpha < 1$ , improving upon state-ofthe-art methods [2] which have a convergence rate of  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{t})$ .
- Showed theoretically and empirically VRSPAM converges faster than existing methods for AUC maximization.

# References

- [1] Charles Elkan. "The foundations of cost-sensitive learning". In: *International joint conference* on artificial intelligence. Vol. 17. 1. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Ltd. 2001, pp. 973–978.
- [2] Michael Natole, Yiming Ying, and Siwei Lyu. "Stochastic proximal algorithms for AUC maximization". In: International Conference on Machine Learning. 2018, pp. 3707–3716.
- [3] Lin Xiao and Tong Zhang. "A proximal stochastic gradient method with progressive variance reduction". In: SIAM Journal on Optimization 24.4 (2014), pp. 2057–2075.
- [4] Yiming Ying, Longyin Wen, and Siwei Lyu. "Stochastic online AUC maximization". In: Advances in neural information processing systems. 2016, pp. 451–459.

